



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

08/978,636

11/25/1997

ELAZAR RABBBANI

ENZ-53(DIV-3

4642

28171

7590

05/02/2008

ENZO BIOCHEM, INC.

527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR)

NEW YORK, NY 10022

EXAMINER

BOWMAN, AMY HUDSON

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1635

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/978,636	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RABBBANI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> AMY H. BOWMAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1635	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amy H. Bowman.

(3) Cheryl Agris.

(2) Jon E. Angell.

(4) Dr. Donegan.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 245, 255 and 262.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of the mechanism of the broad claims, concentration on potential support in the specification, written description, and enablement. Applicant was advised to submit the arguments to be thoroughly considered and to point with specificity to support in the specification commensurate in scope with the instant claims. A brief discussion of the Balvay reference which is relied upon for demonstrating a scope of unpredictability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amy H. Bowman/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1635

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required